

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/752,857 | STAHLY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. | 1743 | |

All Participants:

(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(2) Mr. Steven Scott

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second paragraph, obviousness over the prior art

Claims discussed:

81-96

Prior art documents discussed:

Lehmann

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Yelena Gakh

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the applicant agrees to clarify the subject matter of claims 81 and 85 with the examiner's amendment; the examiner agrees with the applicant's arguments regarding claims 81 and 85; however the examiner rejects claims 89-96 as anticipated, or alternatively, obvious over Lahmann; the applicant agrees to cancel the claims. .